Microbial Resolution and Asymmetric Reduction related to Optically Active 1,3-Diphenylpropane-1,3-diol

Koji Yamamoto,* Hiroyuki Ando, and Hiroaki Chikamatsu

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan

An efficient microbial resolution of 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-diol (4) has been achieved by exposing the corresponding diacetate to *Trichoderma viride*; a reductive cleavage of chiral acetals (6) derived from (4) with hydride reagent (Br_2AIH , Cl_2AIH) affords optically active alcohols (8) of high enantiomeric purities.

While investigating novel asymmetric cleavage¹ of chiral acetals, we found that 1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-diol (4) is an excellent chiral inducing source in reductive cleavage of chiral acetals. In this communication we report on efficient microbial stereodifferentiating hydrolysis of the diester (1) to provide the optically active 1,3-diol (4), and an asymmetric cleavage of chiral acetals (6) utilizing (4) as the chiral inducing source.

Incubation of (\pm) -diester (1)[†] (1 g) with the resting cell of *Trichoderma viride* (IFO 9065) (*T. koningii*)³ at 25 °C for 14 h gave a 49:10:41 mixture of the recovered diester (2), the monoester (3), and the diol (4) which was chromatographed to yield (+)-(1*R*,3*R*)-(2)‡ (470 mg), $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ +66.1° (MeOH) [85% enantiomeric excess (e.e.)].§ (-)-(1*S*,3*S*)-(3) (58 mg), $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ -6.6° (MeOH) (15% e.e.), and (-)-(1*S*,3*S*)-(4)¶ (270 mg), m.p. 160—161 °C, $[\alpha]_{D}^{26}$ -72.0° (MeOH) (100% e.e.),** respectively.



 \dagger The racemic substrate (1) was readily prepared from the corresponding diol.² All new compounds gave satisfactory spectra and elemental analytical data.

 \ddagger C.d. spectral comparison with (-)-(S)-1-phenylethyl acetate⁴ indicated a (1*R*,3*R*)-configuration for (+)-(2).

§ The optical purity of (+)-(2) was determined by h.p.l.c. analysis with a column packed with (+)-poly(triphenylmethyl methacrylate) (elution with methanol).⁵

¶ *T. viride* catalysed hydrolysis of (\pm) -(1) (1 g) at 25 °C for 24 h gave a 40:6:54 mixture of (2), (3), and (4) which was chromatographed to afford (+)-(1*R*,3*R*)-(2) (270 mg) (100% e.e.), (+)-(1*R*,3*R*)-(3) (35 mg) (86% e.e.), and (-)-(1*S*,3*S*)-(4) (460 mg) (81% e.e.). Pig liver esterase⁶ catalysed hydrolysis of (\pm) -(1) (1 g) at pH 8.0 for 7 days gave a 48:8:44 mixture of (2), (3), and (4) which was chromatographed to give (-)-(1*S*,3*S*)-(2) (430 mg) (84% e.e.), (-)-(1*S*,3*S*)-(3) (50 mg) (8% e.e.), and (+)-(1*R*,3*R*)-(4) (300 mg) (92% e.e.).

** The absolute configurations and optical purities of (-)-(3) and (-)-(4), were determined by acetylation back to the (-)-diester (2).

Following Yamamoto and co-workers' procedure,¹ an ethereal solution of the aluminium hydride reagent (Cl₂AlH, Br₂AlH) was prepared *in situ* from LiAlH₄ and AlX₃ (X = Cl, Br), and then cooled (Table 1). The acetal (6) (1 equiv.) derived from (-)-(1S,3S)-(4) and the carbonyl compound (5), was added to this hydride reagent (3 equiv.). After stirring at this temperature for a few hours, the reaction mixture was quenched with $2 \le HCl$ and the product was extracted with diethyl ether. Chromatography on silica provided the alcohol (7) in high yield. Removal of the chiral auxiliary in (7) was carried out using one of two methods: (i) reductive cleavage of (7) with sodium in liquid ammonia (for R¹ = Me, R² =



Table 1. Reduction of chiral acetals (6) with hydride reagents.^a

					Alcohol (8)		
Acetal(6)b		Hydride	Condi- tions			Yield	% e.e.
R1	R ²	reagent	°C	h	Х	%	(configuration)
Me	$n-C_6H_{13}$	Cl ₂ AlH	0	2	Н	88	81°(S)
		Br ₂ AlH	0	2	Н	86	96°(S)e
Me	Ph	Cl_2AlH	0	2	Н	87	98d(S)
		Br ₂ AlH	0	2	Н	84	99d(S)e
Н	Ph	Br ₂ AlD ^f	0	2	D	88	$58^{g}(R)$
		Br ₂ AlD ^f	-15	3	D	85	70s(R)e

^a Reduction of (6) was carried out as described in text. ^b Prepared from (5) with (+)-(1*S*,3*S*)-1,3-diphenylpropane-1,3-diol (4) in the presence of a catalytic amount of pyridinium toluene-*p*-sulphonate⁸ (55—75% yield). ^c E.e. was determined by h.p.l.c. analysis of the benzoate of (8) with a column packed with (+)-poly(triphenylmethyl methacrylate) (elution with methanol).⁹ ^d E.e. was determined by h.p.l.c. analysis with a column packed with cellulose tris(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate) on silica gel [elution with hexane–ethanol (9:1)].¹⁰ ^e Optical rotation values of (8) were: $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} + 8.71^{\circ}$ (*c* 5.18, CHCl₃) for R¹ = Me, R² = n-C₆H₁₃; $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} - 42.7^{\circ}$ (*c* 2.36, cyclo-C₅H₁₀) for R¹ = H, R² = Ph; f Prepared from AlBr₃ with LiAlD₄.^e Based on $[\alpha]_{D}^{26} + 1.58^{\circ}$ (*c* 7.07, cyclo-C₅H₁₀).¹¹



n-C₆H₁₃) or (ii) Swern oxidation⁷ of (7) using dimethyl sulphoxide and oxalyl chloride followed by base (K₂CO₃)catalysed elimination of the chiral auxiliary (for R¹ = Me, R² = Ph; R¹ = H, R² = Ph). After removal of the auxiliary, the crude product was purified by column chromatography and distillation to give the corresponding alcohol (8). Table 1 illustrates the results obtained with three different carbonyl systems under various conditions. From these results, it can be seen that (a) the cleavage reaction of acetals (6) derived from (-)-(1S,3S)-(4) with hydride reagent produces optically active alcohols (8) with good enantiomeric purity; (b) the hydride reagent (Br₂AlH) exhibits excellent selectivity; and (c) lower temperature $(-15 \, ^{\circ}C)$ leads to higher asymmetric induction.

The configuration of the resulting alcohols (8) formed by the cleavage of acetals (6) with X_2AlH is dependent upon the configuration of (6) at the acetal carbon. The sterically less hindered groups (R^1) should occupy the axial position in the six-membered transition state (A). These situations parallel the observation of the cleavage reaction of the acetals with other organoaluminium reagents as previously reported.¹

Received, 26th August 1986; Com. 1220

References

- 1 A. Mori, J. Fujiwara, K. Maruoka, and H. Yamamoto, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 285, 87.
- 2 J. Dale, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 910.
- 3 T. Oritani, M. Ichimura, and K. Yamashita, Agri. Biol. Chem., 1983, 47, 2613.
- 4 K. Frendenberg, J. Todd, and R. Seidler, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1933, **501**, 199.
- 5 Y. Okamoto, S. Honda, I. Okamato, H. Yuki, S. Murata, R. Noyori, and H. Takaya, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 6971.
- 6 W. Kasel, P. G. Hultin, and J. B. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1563.
- 7 A. J. Mancuso and D. Swern, Synthesis, 1981, 165.
- 8 M. Miyashita, A. Yoshikoshi, and P. A. Grieco, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 3772.
- 9 Y. Okamoto, S. Honda, K. Hatada, and H. Yuki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1985, 58, 3053.
- 10 Y. Okamoto, H. Kawashima, and K. Hatada, J. Chromatogr., 1986, 363, 173.
- 11 S. Yamaguchi and H. S. Mosher, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 1870.